



**CITY OF ROMULUS – CITY COUNCIL
REGULAR MEETING AGENDA
November 1, 2021
7:30 PM**

Public audience can also view the Regular City Council Meetings live via YouTube at
www.youtube.com/cityofromulus

Pledge of Allegiance

Roll Call

1. Agenda

- A. Approval of Agenda

2. Minutes

- A. Approval of minutes from the regular meeting held on Monday, October 25, 2021, at 7:30 p.m.
- B. Approval of minutes from the special meeting - study session held on Monday, October 25, 2021, at 6:45 p.m. for the purpose of discussing long-term parking facilities, wireless communication facilities, and lighting regulations.

3. Petitioner

4. Chairperson's Report, John Barden, Mayor Pro-Tem

- A. Approval of the Chairperson's Report

5. Mayor's Report – LeRoy D. Burcroff, Mayor

- A. Officer Compensation Commission Appointment

6. Clerk's Report – Ellen L. Craig-Bragg, Clerk

- A. Second Reading & Final Adoption of TA-2021-001 (Zoning Ordinance Amendment) - Long Term Parking Facilities
- B. Second Reading & Final Adoption of TA-2021-002 (Zoning Ordinance Amendment) - Wireless Facilities
- C. Second Reading & Final Adoption of TA-2021-003 (Zoning Ordinance Amendment) - Lighting
- D. Second Reading & Final Adoption to an amendment to Chapter 58, Traffic & Motor vehicles, Section 58-66 & 58-67 of the City Code of Ordinances

7. Treasurer's Report – Stacy Paige, Treasurer

8. Public Comment - Citizens are to limit their comments to three (3) minutes. All citizens wishing to speak will be heard.

9. Unfinished Business

10. New Business

11. Communication

12. Adjournment



RULES REGARDING THE PUBLIC ADDRESSING A CITY MEETING

Any member of the public shall have the right to address the City Council, Board or Commission on any item on the agenda under the following conditions:

1. Individuals requesting to address City Council, a Board or Commission on an agenda item or under public comment must fill out a “*Request to Address*” card provided – listing name, address, phone number and agenda item on which comments are desired to be made and present it to the Clerk or recording secretary.
2. When the agenda item is reached, the clerk or recording secretary shall call upon the person or persons who filed the request to speak. A member of the public shall not be permitted to enter into debate with a petitioner.
3. Individuals that would like to address City Council under the public comment portion of the agenda, must raise their hand and when recognized by the chair, the person shall approach the microphone and state their name and address.
4. Remarks shall be limited to three (3) minutes, subject to being extended an additional three (3) minutes by consent of the chair. There shall be no personal attacks. Remarks shall not contain any profanity, racial, ethnic, religious, sexual or national origin slurs or overtones. Anyone making such remarks shall lose his/her right to address the City Council, Board or Commission.
5. No person shall be permitted to address the group on any item more than once at any one meeting without the approval of a majority of the quorum present.
6. All of the foregoing does not apply to a person previously granted a hearing at the meeting in question.
7. This rule does not permit members of the public to join in debate or discussion with petitioners, members of the body or with other members of the public present at such meeting.
8. Once a motion is on the floor, discussion from the public shall no longer be permitted on that agenda item.
9. The public may make a request to the Chairperson of the Council on a form provided by the Clerk, to be added to the agenda of a future Council meeting to address a subject that Council would have authority to address. If the Chairperson denies the request, the request may be made to the entire Council under the Public Comment section of the Council’s agenda. If the request is granted by a majority of the Council, it will be added as an agenda item at the next regular meeting of the Council.

The meeting will be held in the City Council Chambers, Romulus City Hall, 11111 South Wayne Road, Romulus, MI 48174. NOTE: Anyone planning to attend the meeting who has need of special assistance under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), is asked to contact the Clerk’s Office (734-942-7540) 48 hours prior to the meeting – the staff will be pleased to make the necessary arrangements.



City of Romulus

Agenda

Council Meeting Held: **November 1, 2021**

Item No. A.

General Description: Approval of Agenda

Resolution No. _____

<i>Moved by:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams
<i>Seconded by:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams

<i>Ayes:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams
<i>Nays:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams
<i>Abstain:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams

MOTION CARRIED
UNANIMOUSLY

MOTION CARRIED

MOTION FAILED



City of Romulus

Minutes

Council Meeting Held: **November 1, 2021**

Item No. A.

General Description: Approval of minutes from the regular meeting held on Monday, October 25, 2021, at 7:30 p.m.

Resolution No. _____

<i>Moved by:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams
<i>Seconded by:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams

<i>Ayes:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams
<i>Nays:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams
<i>Abstain:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams

MOTION CARRIED
UNANIMOUSLY

MOTION CARRIED

MOTION FAILED



MINUTES OF THE REGULAR ROMULUS CITY COUNCIL MEETING

October 25, 2021

Romulus City Hall Council Chambers, 11111 Wayne Rd, Romulus, MI 48174

The meeting was called to order 7:30 p.m. by Mayor Pro-Tem John Barden

Pledge of Allegiance

Roll Call

Present: Kathy Abdo, John Barden, Celeste Roscoe, Tina Talley, William Wadsworth, Eva Webb, Virginia Williams

Absent / Excused:

Administrative Officials in Attendance:

Ellen L. Craig-Bragg, Clerk

Julie Wojtylko, Chief of Staff

Steve Hitchcock, City Attorney

Administrative Staff in Attendance:

Robert McCraight – Director of Public Service & Economic Development; Carol Maise – City Planner;

Roberto Scappaticci – Director of DPW

1. Agenda

- A. Moved by **Tina Talley**, seconded by **Kathy Abdo** to accept the agenda as amended.

Roll Call Vote: Ayes - Abdo, Barden, Roscoe, Talley, Wadsworth, Webb, Williams

Nays - None

Motion Carried Unanimously

2. Minutes

- A. **Res. #21-297** Moved by **William Wadsworth**, seconded by **Eva Webb** to approve the minutes from the regular meeting held on Monday, October 11, 2021, at 7:30 p.m.

Roll Call Vote: Ayes - Abdo, Barden, Roscoe, Talley, Wadsworth, Webb, Williams

Nays - None

Motion Carried Unanimously

3. Petitioner

- A. Director of Public Services & Economic Development Robert McCraight introduced Johnnie Sweeney from NorthPoint who presented a PowerPoint to Council for Special Land Use Request - SLU-2021-003; Royal Farms (Wick/Vining).

Res. #21-298 Moved by **Celeste Roscoe**, seconded by **Eva Webb** to concur with the recommendation of the Planning commission and approve the Special Land use request for SLU-2021-003; Royal Farms (Wick/Vining) for a gas station and car wash subject to a revised site plan being submitted and any other items identified by other departments and agencies.

Roll Call Vote: Ayes - Abdo, Barden, Roscoe, Talley, Wadsworth, Webb

Nays - Williams

Motion Carried

4. Chairperson's Report, John Barden, Mayor Pro-Tem

Res. #21-299 Moved by **Kathy Abdo**, seconded by **Celeste Roscoe** to adopt a memorial resolution for the

family of Robbie Orme.

Roll Call Vote: Ayes - Abdo, Barden, Roscoe, Talley, Wadsworth, Webb, Williams

Nays - None

Motion Carried Unanimously

Res. #21-300 Moved by **William Wadsworth**, seconded by **Celeste Roscoe** to adopt a resolution in recognition of Mayor LeRoy Burcroff for 24 years of service to the City of Romulus and its citizens.

Roll Call Vote: Ayes - Abdo, Barden, Roscoe, Talley, Wadsworth, Webb, Williams

Nays - None

Motion Carried Unanimously

Res. #21-301 Moved by **Celeste Roscoe**, seconded by **Eva Webb** to adopt a memorial resolution for the family of Nancy Brewer.

Roll Call Vote: Ayes - Abdo, Barden, Roscoe, Talley, Wadsworth, Webb, Williams

Nays - None

Motion Carried Unanimously

- A. Moved by **Celeste Roscoe**, seconded by **Tina Talley** to accept the Chairperson's Report.

Roll Call Vote: Ayes - Abdo, Barden, Roscoe, Talley, Wadsworth, Webb, Williams

Nays - None

Motion Carried Unanimously

5. Mayor's Report – LeRoy D. Burcroff, Mayor

- A. **Res. #21-302** Moved by **William Wadsworth**, seconded by **Kathy Abdo** to concur with the Administration and authorize the sole source purchase of ten Bola Wrap 100.1 Remote Restraint devices with holsters, attachments, and cartridges for a total cost of \$14,000.00 from Vance Outdoors Inc. under the terms of Sole Source Purchases Exception in Section 39-11(d)c.1 of the City of Romulus Purchasing Ordinance.

Roll Call Vote: Ayes - Abdo, Barden, Roscoe, Talley, Wadsworth, Webb, Williams

Nays - None

Motion Carried Unanimously

- B. **Res. #21-303** Moved by **Celeste Roscoe**, seconded by **Tina Talley** to concur with the Administration and award Bid ITB 21/22-06 demolition list 20-01 to the lowest most responsive and responsible bidder, Blue Ribbon Contracting, Inc. in the amount of \$82,720.00.

Roll Call Vote: Ayes - Abdo, Barden, Roscoe, Talley, Wadsworth, Webb, Williams

Nays - None

Motion Carried Unanimously

- C. **Res. #21-304** Moved by **Eva Webb**, seconded by **Celeste Roscoe** to concur with the Administration and authorize the Mayor and Clerk to extend the professional services agreement with ROWE Professional Services company until December 31, 2021.

Roll Call Vote: Ayes - Abdo, Barden, Roscoe, Talley, Wadsworth, Webb, Williams

Nays - None

Motion Carried Unanimously

- D. FYI - Emergency Repair 38819 Barth Road Sanitary Sewer Lead – Action is not requested

6. Clerk's Report – Ellen L. Craig-Bragg, Clerk

- A. **Res. #21-305** Moved by **William Wadsworth**, seconded by **Kathy Abdo** to concur with the recommendation of Robert Pfannes, chief of Police, and approve a Traffic Control Order providing for the addition of stop signs on Joan at Central in the City of Romulus.

Roll Call Vote: Ayes - Abdo, Barden, Roscoe, Talley, Wadsworth, Webb, Williams

Nays - None

Motion Carried Unanimously

- B. **Res. #21-306** Moved by **Celeste Roscoe**, seconded by **Tina Talley** to approve the second reading and final adoption of amendments to Chapter 2--Article VII, Cemetery Ordinance, Sections 2-409 and 2-410 of the City Code of Ordinances.

Roll Call Vote: Ayes - Abdo, Barden, Roscoe, Talley, Wadsworth, Webb, Williams

Nays - None

Motion Carried Unanimously

- C. **Res. #21-307** Moved by **Eva Webb**, seconded by **Celeste Roscoe** to concur with the recommendation of the Planning Commission and approve the first reading and introduction of TA-2021-001 which amends Article 14, PARKING REQUIREMENTS, Section 14.05, Long-Term Parking Facilities, Car Rental, and leasing facilities and Article 22, BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS, Section 2203, Temporary Buildings and Uses of the Zoning Ordinance.

Roll Call Vote: Ayes - Abdo, Barden, Roscoe, Talley, Wadsworth, Webb, Williams

Nays - None

Motion Carried Unanimously

- D. **Res. #21-308** Moved by **Celeste Roscoe**, seconded by **William Wadsworth** to concur with the recommendation of the Planning commission and approve the first reading and introduction of TA-2021-002 which amends Section 12.15, Wireless Communication Facilities and services of the Zoning Ordinance.

Roll Call Vote: Ayes - Abdo, Barden, Roscoe, Talley, Wadsworth, Webb, Williams

Nays - None

Motion Carried Unanimously

- E. **Res. #21-309** Moved by **Celeste Roscoe**, seconded by **Tina Talley** to concur with the recommendation of the Planning Commission and approve the first reading and introduction of TA-2021-003 which amends Article 13, SITE DESIGN, Section 13.05, Lighting Regulations of the Zoning Ordinance.

Roll Call Vote: Ayes - Abdo, Barden, Roscoe, Talley, Wadsworth, Webb, Williams

Nays - None

Motion Carried Unanimously

- F. **Res. #21-310** Moved by **Eva Webb**, seconded by **Celeste Roscoe** to approve the first reading and introduction to an amendment to Chapter 58, Traffic & Motor Vehicles, Section 58-66 & 58-67 of the City of Romulus Code of Ordinances.

Roll Call Vote: Ayes - Abdo, Barden, Roscoe, Talley, Wadsworth, Webb, Williams

Nays - None

Motion Carried Unanimously

7. Treasurer's Report – Stacy Paige, Treasurer

8. Public Comment

A resident addressed City Council regarding planned power outages by DTE during evening hours and a mailer received from Oakland County regarding the local elections.

A resident addressed the City Council regarding the vandalism of Councilperson Williams' home and campaign billboard.

A resident addressed the City Council regarding his communication with the Governor's office, Wayne County Sheriff's Office, the Michigan State Police, and the FBI in reference to the vandalism of Councilperson Williams' campaign billboard.

A resident inquired about overnight parking at the proposed site of Royal Farms.

A resident addressed City Council regarding street lights on Border St.

Gina Wilson-Steward of the Western Wayne NAACP inquired if an investigation has been started by the City of Romulus regarding vandalism of Councilperson Williams' campaign billboard and if a similar incident occurred in the past.

A resident expressed sorrow to Councilperson Williams for the vandalism of her property and inquired about water bill disconnections.

A resident also expressed sorrow regarding the vandalism of Councilperson Williams' property and campaign billboard.

A resident addressed City Council regarding his campaign signs being stolen.

A resident expressed concern regarding the vandalism of Councilperson Williams' property and inquired about the Veteran's Monument.

9. Unfinished Business

10. New Business

Councilperson Webb inquired as to the plan to include more lighting on Border St. and Wayne Rd.

11. Warrant

- A. Res. #21-311** Moved by **Kathy Abdo**, seconded by **Tina Talley** to approve Warrant #: 21-20 for checks presented in the amount of \$4,857,137.36

Roll Call Vote: Ayes - Abdo, Barden, Talley, Wadsworth, Webb
Nays - Roscoe, Williams
Motion Carried

12. Communication

Councilperson Talley commended the ribbon-cutting event at Hannan & Northline and the collaborative work of the City and Wayne County. She also commended the ribbon-cutting event for the Huron River Pathway. Finally, she invited residents to visit a new church in the City, Rise Church. Councilperson Williams condemned the vandalism of her home and campaign billboard. Councilperson Webb expressed sorrow to Councilperson Williams for the vandalism of her property and urged the perpetrator to come forward. Councilperson Abdo thanked Recreation Coordinator Tanya Cofield for her hard work on the Daddy-Daughter Dance held on Saturday, October 23rd.

13. Adjournment

Moved by **William Wadsworth**, seconded by **Eva Webb** to adjourn the meeting at 9:37 p.m.

Roll Call Vote: Ayes - Abdo, Barden, Roscoe, Talley, Wadsworth, Webb, Williams

Nays - None

Motion Carried Unanimously

I, Ellen L. Craig-Bragg, Clerk for the City of Romulus, Michigan do hereby certify the foregoing to be a true copy of the minutes of the regular meeting of the Romulus City Council held on October 25, 2021.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Ellen L. Craig-Bragg". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above the printed name of the signatory.

Ellen L. Craig-Bragg, City Clerk
City of Romulus, Michigan



City of Romulus

Minutes

Council Meeting Held: **November 1, 2021**

Item No. B.

General Description: Approval of minutes from the special meeting - study session held on Monday, October 25, 2021, at 6:45 p.m. for the purpose of discussing long-term parking facilities, wireless communication facilities, and lighting regulations.

Resolution No. _____

<i>Moved by:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams
<i>Seconded by:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams

<i>Ayes:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams
<i>Nays:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams
<i>Abstain:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams

MOTION CARRIED
UNANIMOUSLY

MOTION CARRIED

MOTION FAILED



**MINUTES OF THE ROMULUS CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL MEETING –STUDY SESSION
October 25, 2021**

Romulus City Hall Council Chambers, 11111 Wayne Rd, Romulus, MI 48174

The meeting was called to order at 6:45 p.m. by Mayor Pro-Tem John Barden

1. Roll Call

Present: Kathy Abdo, John Barden, Celeste Roscoe, Tina Talley, William Wadsworth, Eva Webb
(joined at 6:58 p.m.), Virginia Williams (joined at 7:16 p.m.)

Absent / Excused:

Administrative Officials in Attendance:

Ellen L. Craig-Bragg, Clerk

Julie Wojtylko, Chief of Staff

Administrative Staff in Attendance:

Stephen Hitchcock, City Attorney; Carol Maise, City Planner

2. Moved by Tina Talley, seconded by Kathy Abdo to accept the Study Session Agenda as presented.

Roll Call Vote: Ayes - Abdo, Barden, Roscoe, Talley, Wadsworth, Webb

Nays - None

Motion Carried Unanimously

3. Discussion: Proposed Amendments for long-term parking facilities; Cell Towers; Lighting Regulations

Councilperson Webb attended the meeting at 6:58 p.m.

City Attorney Stephen Hitchcock led the meeting's discussion. The proposed amendments specific to park & fly seek to add additional requirements to secure a permit and licensure for long-term parking facilities. Additional security requirements include fencing, lighting, separate and defined parking specifically for park and fly customers, and creation of a parking structure, if 50 or more parking spots are designated. Hotels must assess the 27% tax on the customer of the occupied parking spot as prescribed by law. He informed Council that there is currently no hotel that has received zoning approval from the Planning Commission or parking licensure from the City Clerk's Office. Additional approval from the Michigan Treasury Department to collect a tax for park and fly is required before issuance of a parking license from the Clerk's Office. The City Council requested that additional language be included in the proposed amendment to have hotels provide security personnel to comply with the "adequate security" provision.

Councilperson Williams attended the meeting at 7:16 p.m.

Attorney Hitchcock spoke to the proposed amendment to the wireless communication facilities zoning ordinance in which the amendment spells out deadlines under federal and state statutes within the ordinance. Jessica Katers of OHM Advisors spoke on the proposed amendment to the lighting regulation zoning ordinance in which the amendment would allow the use of LED lights and increase the uniformity ratio between maximum and minimum illumination from 4:1 to 10:1.

4. Public Comment – No comments from the public.

5. Moved by William Wadsworth, seconded by Kathy Abdo to adjourn the Special Meeting at 7:28 p.m.

Roll Call Vote: Ayes - Abdo, Barden, Roscoe, Talley, Wadsworth, Webb, Williams

Nays - None

Motion Carried Unanimously

I, Ellen L. Craig-Bragg, Clerk for the City of Romulus, Michigan do hereby certify the foregoing to be a true copy of the minutes of the regular meeting of the Romulus City Council held on October 25, 2021.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Ellen L. Craig-Bragg".

Ellen L. Craig-Bragg, City Clerk
City of Romulus, Michigan



City of Romulus

Petitioner

Council Meeting Held:

November 1, 2021

Item No. 3

General Description: _____

Resolution No. _____

Moved by: Abdo Barden Roscoe Talley Wadsworth Webb Williams

Seconded by: Abdo Barden Roscoe Talley Wadsworth Webb Williams

Ayes: All Abdo Barden Roscoe Talley Wadsworth Webb Williams

Nays: All Abdo Barden Roscoe Talley Wadsworth Webb Williams

Abstain: All Abdo Barden Roscoe Talley Wadsworth Webb Williams

MOTION CARRIED
UNANIMOUSLY

MOTION CARRIED

MOTION FAILED



City of Romulus

Chairperson's Report, John Barden, Mayor Pro-Tem

Council Meeting Held: **November 1, 2021**

Item No. A.

General Description: Approval of the Chairperson's Report

Resolution No. _____

<i>Moved by:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams
<i>Seconded by:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams

<i>Ayes:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams
<i>Nays:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams
<i>Abstain:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams

MOTION CARRIED
UNANIMOUSLY

MOTION CARRIED

MOTION FAILED



City of Romulus

Mayor's Report – LeRoy D. Burcroff, Mayor

Council Meeting Held: **November 1, 2021**

Item No. A.

General Description: Officer Compensation Commission Appointment

Resolution No. _____

<i>Moved by:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams
<i>Seconded by:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams

<i>Ayes:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams
<i>Nays:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams
<i>Abstain:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams

MOTION CARRIED
UNANIMOUSLY

MOTION CARRIED

MOTION FAILED

City of Romulus

INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

TO: The Honorable Romulus City Council
FROM: Mayor LeRoy D. Burcroff
SUBJECT: Officer Compensation Commission Appointment
DATE: October 27, 2021

I am requesting Council's concurrence of the appointment of Cheryle Buckley, to the City of Romulus Officer Compensation Commission, with a term to expire on June 24, 2026.

Motion by _____, supported by _____, to concur with the administration and approve the appointment of Cheryle Buckley, to the City of Romulus Officer Compensation Commission, with a term to expire on June 24, 2026.

From: bcheryle4@aol.com
To: [Craig-Bragg, Ellen](#)
Subject: Officers"s Compensation Board - Letter of Interest
Date: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 7:01:44 PM

Dear Ellen:

I am writing letter this to express my interest in serving on the City of Romulus' Officer's Compensation Board.

I believe have the knowledge and experience to be an asset to the Board. Your kind consideration of me to be able to contribute and serve my community in this capacity would be appreciated.

Thanking you in advance,

Cheryle Buckley
11435 Grover St.
Romulus, MI 48174
734-365-3221



City of Romulus

Clerk's Report – Ellen L. Craig-Bragg, Clerk

Council Meeting Held: **November 1, 2021**

Item No. A.

General Description: Second Reading & Final Adoption of TA-2021-001 (Zoning Ordinance Amendment) - Long Term Parking Facilities

Resolution No. _____

<i>Moved by:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams
<i>Seconded by:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams

<i>Ayes:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams
<i>Nays:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams
<i>Abstain:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams

MOTION CARRIED
UNANIMOUSLY

MOTION CARRIED

MOTION FAILED



MEMORANDUM

To: Honorable City Council

From: Ellen L. Craig-Bragg, Clerk

Date: November 1, 2021

Re: Second Reading and Final Adoption of TA-2021-001

For approval is the second reading and final adoption of TA-2021-001 which amends Article 14, PARKING REQUIREMENTS, Section 14.05, Long-Term Parking Facilities, Car Rental, and Leasing Facilities and Article 22 BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS, Section 22.03, Temporary Buildings and Uses of the Zoning Ordinance.

This amendment was introduced at the October 25, 2021 Regular Council Meeting.

ORDINANCE _____
CITY OF ROMULUS
APPENDIX A – ZONING
Amendment 1.250

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ARTICLE 14, PARKING REQUIREMENTS, SECTION 14.05, LONG-TERM PARKING FACILITIES, CAR RENTAL, AND LEASING FACILITIES OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE FOR THE CITY OF ROMULUS.

THE CITY OF ROMULUS ORDAINS:

SECTION 1. Article 14, Parking Requirements, Section 14.05, Long-Term Parking Facilities, Car Rental, and Leasing Facilities is amended to read as follows:

Section 14.05 Long-term Parking Facilities, Car Rental, and Leasing Facilities

- (a) **Intent.** Long-term surface parking facilities, car rental, and car leasing facilities do not represent the most efficient and best use of land within the City. The proliferation of large paved areas - create a negative image and large surface parking lots create increased environmental impacts, such as a greater stormwater runoff. Utilizing large land areas in commercial and industrial districts for parking is not consistent with the objective of encouraging other types of commercial uses, such as hotels, offices, and high quality manufacturing facilities that provide a greater contribution to the City's economy and character. It is the intent of these regulations to:
- (1) Minimize the amount of valuable commercial and industrial land that is consumed by long-term parking facilities and ensure there is adequate land available for economic growth of the City for industry and commercial services needed by residents.
 - (2) Ensure long-term parking facilities are appropriately located to support other airport-related facilities.
 - (3) Minimize traffic impacts from long-term parking facilities.
 - (4) Minimize the amount of woodlands that need to be clear for parking facilities.
 - (5) Minimize the impact to the microclimate and the "heat island" effect of large parking facilities.
 - (6) Minimize the amount of stormwater runoff generated from a parking facility.

(b) **Long-term Parking Facilities.** Long-term parking facilities must meet the following special land use requirements:

- (1) This section shall apply to long-term parking facilities used by airport travelers or other types of long-term vehicle parking facilities; hotels/motels that provide long-term park-and-fly space; and, parking lots used for storage of new vehicles not located on the same lot as a dealership or automobile manufacturing facility. Long-term parking facilities are only permitted in the RC, M-T, and AP Districts as a special land use. Long term parking facilities must also obtain a Class I Parking License from the City Council in accordance with Chapter 58 – Traffic and Motor Vehicles, following approval of the special land use.
- (2) Any application for a new long-term parking facility or expansion to an existing facility shall be accompanied by a market study with supporting documentation verifying the need for long term parking. The study will inventory the supply of existing parking, both on and off the airport, and demands created by increased usage. The City Council shall not approve an application for a long-term parking facility unless the study demonstrates that there is a undersupply of long-term parking.
- (3) Long-term parking facilities in excess of 50 parking spaces, must be entirely contained within a parking structure meeting the requirements of Section 14.02(d). Entrance, driveways, collection booths, and other facilities ancillary to the parking facility may be permitted outside of the structure, but parking spaces outside of the structure are not permitted. In order to minimize the amount of land area consumed by a long-term parking facility, no more than twenty five percent (25%) of the parking may be located on the ground level of the parking structure. All parking spaces, whether on the surface or in parking structure, must be in addition to parking spaces required for any other use of the premises.
- (4) Long-term parking facilities shall have access to an arterial roadway that has a right-of-way width of at least one hundred twenty (112) feet extending from the entrance of the site to the nearest freeway interchange.
- (5) All structures and facilities for the long-term parking facility shall be located at least four hundred (400) feet from any road right-of-way.
- (6) The frontage along the arterial roadway shall be capable of development for uses permitted in the district in which the proposed facility is located. The frontage must be developed in conjunction with the long term parking facility. The development may be completed in phases, provided only fifty percent (50%) of the long term parking can be development prior to the 400-foot setback area in front of the parking being developed. The long term parking facility shall be integrated with the development of the frontage with integrated access and circulation.
- (7) The access drive to the site shall be at least three (3) traffic lanes wide with a minimum of two (2) incoming lanes.

- (8) A traffic impact study meeting the requirements of Section 23.02(a)(3) shall be submitted with any application for a new or expanded long-term parking facility.
 - (9) The long-term parking facilities shall be designed and located to be compatible with proposed land uses in the district, not adversely affect adjacent land uses, and be located and consistent with the Master Plan.
 - (10) The entrance to the parking area shall be landscaped along both sides of the entrance road. A greenbelt C meeting the requirements of Section 13.02 shall be provided around the entire perimeter of the parking area.
 - (11) Overnight or long-term parking is prohibited for commercial vehicles such as delivery vans or trucks, truck tractors, over-the-road hauling trucks, semi-trucks, trailers or construction equipment, or similar vehicles, and recreational vehicles such as motor homes, travel trailers and similar vehicles.
 - (12) Long-term parking facilities may be prohibited at highly visible locations that may have a negative influence on the character and appearance of the surrounding area. Added screening requirements may be imposed to reduce the visibility of parking facilities.
 - (13) Existing long-term facilities may be permitted to increase the number of parking spaces utilized for long term parking, provided newly creating parking spaces are located in a parking structure within an area that is currently paved for long term parking. Existing surfaced parking may remain, provided there is no expansion in the land area covered by parking and all new spaces are being added in a structure. The site shall be upgraded to comply with the landscaping and driveway design requirements of this Ordinance.
 - (14) A long term parking facility may not be expanded to cover a larger land area unless all of the requirements of this section are met.
 - (15) All long-term parking facilities shall provide security including fencing and lighting of the site and security guards approved by the Planning Commission, adequate security camera systems with storage of the security video for thirty (30) days as approved by the Police Department and other conditions necessary to protect the health, safety, welfare of the inhabitants of the City.
- (c) **Car Rental Establishments.** Car rental establishments must meet the following requirements:
- (1) A greenbelt C landscaping along the site frontage and a landscape buffer zone shall be provided along all other lot lines, as required by Section 13.02.
 - (2) Customer parking may be provided in the required front yard as long as the area is landscaped as required by Section 13.02.

SECTION 2. Severability.

Should any word, sentence, phrase or any portion of this ordinance be held in any manner invalid by any court of competent jurisdiction or by any state agency having authority to do so for any reason whatsoever, such holdings shall be construed and limited to such words, sentence, phrase, or any portion of the ordinance held to be so invalid and shall not be construed as effecting the validity of any of the remaining words, sentences, phrases or portions of this ordinance.

SECTION 3. Conflicting Ordinances.

All prior existing ordinances adopted by the City of Romulus inconsistent or in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance are, to the extent of such conflict or inconsistency hereby expressly repealed.

SECTION 4. Effective Date.

This ordinance shall take effect upon publication thereof in an official newspaper, which publication shall be made when the minutes passing the ordinance are published subject to revocation at will of the City of Romulus at any time.

ADOPTED, APPROVED AND PASSED by the City Council of the City of Romulus this _____ day of _____, 2021.

LEROY BURCROFF, MAYOR

ELLEN L. CRAIG-BRAGG, CMC, City Clerk

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the Ordinance as passed by the City Council of the City of Romulus at a regular Council Meeting held in the City Council Room in said City of the _____ day of _____, 2021.

ELLEN L. CRAIG-BRAGG, CMC, City Clerk

I further certify that the foregoing was published in Associated/Journal Newspaper, a newspaper of general circulation in the City of Romulus, on the _____ day of _____, 2021.

ELLEN L. CRAIG-BRAGG, CMC, City Clerk

Within forty-five- (45) days after publication of any ordinance duly passed by the Council, a petition may be presented to the Counsel protesting such ordinance continuing effect. Said petition shall contain the text of such ordinance and shall be signed by not less than six percent (6%) of the registered electors registered at the last preceding election which a Mayor of the City was elected. Said ordinance shall thereupon and thereby be suspended from operation and the Council shall immediately reconsider such ordinance.

Introduced: 10/25/21

Adopted: 11/1/21

Published:

Effective:

ORDINANCE _____
CITY OF ROMULUS
APPENDIX A – ZONING
Amendment 1.251

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ARTICLE 22, ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS, SECTION 22.03, POWERS AND DUTIES, SECTION (e) TEMPORARY BUILDINGS AND USES OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE FOR THE CITY OF ROMULUS.

THE CITY OF ROMULUS ORDAINS:

SECTION 1. Article 22, Board of Zoning Appeals, Section 22.03 (e) Temporary Building and Uses is amended to read as follows:

(e) Temporary Building and Uses. Temporary buildings, structures and uses may be permitted as follows:

- (1) The Board of Zoning Appeals may permit temporary buildings, structures, and uses for up to twelve (12) months in a calendar year from the date of BZA approval provided that all requirements and conditions relative to the type of structure and use, and timing and arrangements for termination and removal, are specified in writing.
 - a. The Board of Zoning Appeals may condition approvals related to setbacks; screening; off-street parking; liability coverage; security including fencing, lighting of the site, security guards and cameras supplied with storage of the security video for thirty (30) days as approved by the Police Department; and other conditions considered necessary to protect the health, safety, welfare, and comfort of the inhabitants of the City.
 - b. A single six (6) month extension may be granted if the Board of Zoning Appeals is petitioned by the applicant prior to the expiration of the original approval.
 - c. Applicants who are dissatisfied with the decision of the Administrative Review Committee (ARC) set forth below may appeal the decision to the Board of Zoning Appeals to determine whether the ARC properly applied the conditions set forth in this Ordinance below in its decision, or in the Food Handlers Ordinance.
- (2) The Administrative Review Committee (ARC) may approve temporary buildings, structures and uses for not to exceed a period of one-hundred eighty (180) days in a

calendar year, provided the proposed use is an accessory use to an approved principal permitted use for the site, the principal permitted use is in compliance with city ordinances, and the applicant has a business located in the City that has a current business registration issued by the City with such additional conditions as may be determined by the ARC, including but not limited to security, fencing, lighting and cameras.

- a. ARC may also approve temporary structures and uses (not temporary buildings) for not to exceed thirty (30) days in a calendar year if the proposed use is not an accessory use for the site, the principal permitted use is in compliance with this zoning ordinance, and the applicant has a business located in the City that has a current business registration issued by the City with such additional conditions as may be determined by the ARC.
 - b. Applicants who are dissatisfied with the decision of the Administrative Review Committee (ARC) as set forth above may appeal the decision to the Board of Zoning Appeals to determine whether the ARC properly applied the conditions set forth in this Ordinance below in its decision, or in the Food Handlers Ordinance.
- (3) Any special event on any city street, park or public area of the city shall be subject to Chapter 52 Article II Section 52-84 of the Code of Ordinances for the City of Romulus.
- (4) Non-profit entities that have obtained tax exempt status from the Federal Internal Revenue or have applied for such tax exemption may have temporary structures and uses on property they own or lease for their tax-exempt purpose, for up to three (3) consecutive days, not more than three (3) times in a calendar year without obtaining the consent of the Board of Zoning Appeals or the ARC.
- (5) To receive Board of Zoning Appeals or ARC approval, the following are required:
- a. The applicant and the property owner must not be delinquent on any property taxes or fees owed to the City;
 - b. The use must not be prohibited by any other ordinance of the City;
 - c. The applicant must provide written permission from the property owner for the proposed temporary use;
 - d. If there is an existing occupied use of the property, a Certificate of Occupancy must be provided;

- e. A written application shall be provided to the Planning Department for any temporary use. The application to the Board of Zoning Appeals or ARC shall comply with the requirements of Section 17.03(b);
- f. Upon approval by the Board of Zoning Appeals or ARC, a business registration must be obtained from the City Clerk's office; and
- g. Other information as may be required in the application by ARC or BZA.

SECTION 2. Severability.

Should any word, sentence, phrase or any portion of this ordinance be held in any manner invalid by any court of competent jurisdiction or by any state agency having authority to do so for any reason whatsoever, such holdings shall be construed and limited to such words, sentence, phrase, or any portion of the ordinance held to be so invalid and shall not be construed as effecting the validity of any of the remaining words, sentences, phrases or portions of this ordinance.

SECTION 3. Conflicting Ordinances.

All prior existing ordinances adopted by the City of Romulus inconsistent or in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance are, to the extent of such conflict or inconsistency hereby expressly repealed.

SECTION 4. Effective Date.

This ordinance shall take effect upon publication thereof in an official newspaper, which publication shall be made when the minutes passing the ordinance are published subject to revocation at will of the City of Romulus at any time.

ADOPTED, APPROVED AND PASSED by the City Council of the City of Romulus this _____ day of _____, 2021.

LEROY BURCROFF, MAYOR

ELLEN L. CRAIG-BRAGG, CMC, City Clerk

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the Ordinance as passed by the City Council of the City of Romulus at a regular Council Meeting held in the City Council Room in said City of the _____ day of _____, 2021.

ELLEN L. CRAIG-BRAGG, CMC, City Clerk

I further certify that the foregoing was published in Associated/Journal Newspaper, a newspaper of general circulation in the City of Romulus, on the _____ day of _____, 2021.

ELLEN L. CRAIG-BRAGG, CMC, City Clerk

Within forty-five- (45) days after publication of any ordinance duly passed by the Council, a petition may be presented to the Counsel protesting such ordinance continuing effect. Said petition shall contain the text of such ordinance and shall be signed by not less than six percent (6%) of the registered electors registered at the last preceding election which a Mayor of the City was elected. Said ordinance shall thereupon and thereby be suspended from operation and the Council shall immediately reconsider such ordinance.

Introduced: 10/25/21

Adopted: 11/1/21

Published:

Effective:



City of Romulus

Clerk's Report – Ellen L. Craig-Bragg, Clerk

Council Meeting Held:

November 1, 2021

Item No. B.

General Description: Second Reading & Final Adoption of TA-2021-002 (Zoning Ordinance Amendment) - Wireless Facilities

Resolution No. _____

<i>Moved by:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams
<i>Seconded by:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams

<i>Ayes:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams
<i>Nays:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams
<i>Abstain:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams

MOTION CARRIED
UNANIMOUSLY

MOTION CARRIED

MOTION FAILED



MEMORANDUM

To: Honorable City Council

From: Ellen L. Craig-Bragg, Clerk

Date: November 1, 2021

Re: Second Reading and Final Adoption of TA-2021-002

For approval is the second reading and final adoption of TA-2021-002 which amends Section 12.15, Wireless Communication Facilities and Services of the Zoning Ordinance.

This amendment was introduced at the October 25, 2021 Regular Council Meeting.

ORDINANCE _____
CITY OF ROMULUS
APPENDIX A – ZONING
Amendment 1.253

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ARTICLE 12, GENERAL PROVISIONS, SECTION 12.15, WIRELESS COMMUNICATION FACILITIES AND SERVICES OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE FOR THE CITY OF ROMULUS.

THE CITY OF ROMULUS ORDAINS:

SECTION 1. Article 12, General Provisions, Section 12.15, Wireless Communication Facilities and Services is amended to read as follows:

Section 12.15 Wireless Communication Facilities and Services

(a) **Purpose and Intent.** The regulations of this Section are intended to conform with federal laws and administrative rules governing facilities needed to operate wireless communication systems and to set forth procedures and standards for review and approval for the location of such facilities within the City of Romulus. It is the City's intent to reasonably regulate the location and design of such facilities to retain the integrity of neighborhoods and the character, property values, and aesthetic quality of the City and to also ensure compliance with FAA height restrictions in the vicinity of the Detroit Metropolitan Wayne County International Airport. Given the increase in the number of wireless communication facilities requested as a result of the new technology and the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 and Public Act 143 of 2012, it is the policy of the City that all users should co-locate on attached wireless communication facilities and wireless communication support structures. Collocation is proposed in order to assure the most economic use of land and to prevent the proliferation of duplicative services. In recognition of the City's concern that technological advances may render certain wireless communication facilities obsolete or unnecessary in the future, requirements are set forth for the removal of unused or unnecessary facilities in a timely manner and provide security for removal.

(b) **Definitions:** The following definitions shall apply in the interpretation of this Section:

- (1) **Wireless Communication Facilities (WCF).** All structures and accessory facilities relating to the use of the radio frequency spectrum for the purpose of transmitting or receiving radio signals. This may include, but shall not be limited to, radio antenna, television broadcasting antenna, telephone devices, personal communication transmission equipment and exchanges, microwave

relay, telephone transmission equipment buildings, and commercial mobile radio service facilities. This definition does not include satellite dish, television and radio reception antenna for an individual lot as otherwise regulated in the applicable zoning district.

- (2) **Attached Wireless Communication Facilities.** Wireless communication facilities affixed to existing structures, including but not limited to existing buildings, towers, water tanks, or utility poles.
 - (3) **Wireless Communication Support Structures (WCSS).** Structures erected or modified to support wireless communication antennas. Support structures within this definition include, but shall not be limited to, monopoles, lattice towers, and guyed towers, or other structures that are erected for the purpose of supporting WCF's.
 - (4) **Collocation.** Location by two (2) or more wireless communication providers of wireless communication facilities on a common structure, tower, or building, to reduce the overall number of structures required to support wireless communication antennas within the City.
- (c) **Use Requirements.** Wireless communication facilities may be located within the City in accordance with [Table 12.15 \(c\)](#).

Table 12.15(c) Wireless Communication Facilities		
Type/Location of Wireless Facility	Location Permitted	Approval Procedure
1. Wireless Communication Facility attached to existing structures:		
Collocation upon an existing wireless communication support structure	All districts	Administrative approval
Replacing an existing wireless communication support structure with a new one to support additional collocation	All districts	Administrative approval if not more than 20 feet taller or 10% of original height, whichever is greater. Planning Commission if more than 20 feet taller or more than 10% greater than original height.
Attached to an existing utility structure	All districts	Administrative approval, with letter of acceptance from the utility company
Attached to an existing building	All non-single-family residential districts	Administrative approval
2. New Wireless Communication Support Structure:		

Replacing an existing tower with a taller tower to permit additional collocation	O-1, C-1, C-2, C-3, RC, O-R, M-1, M-2, M-T, AP districts or a government owned site in any district	Site Plan approval by the Planning Commission in accordance with Article 17 .
Monopole	Located on a municipally owned site in any zoning district	Site Plan approval by the Planning Commission in accordance with Article 17 .
Monopole	Located on a site owned by county or state government entity, places of worship, or public school in any zoning district	Special Land Use approval by the City Council in accordance with Article 18 .
Monopole	O-1, C-1, C-2, C-3, RC, O-R, M-1, M-2, M-T, AP districts	Special Land Use approval by the City Council in accordance with Article 18 .
Lattice tower where it can be shown that a monopole is not feasible.	M-2, M-T districts	Special Land Use approval by the City Council in accordance with Article 18 .

(d) Application Requirements

(1) An application for a wireless communication facility, new towers shall be required to include a site plan that meets the requirements of [Article 17](#). An application for a new wireless communication support structure (i.e. a new tower) shall include the following, in addition to other submittal requirements for site plan, as required in [Article 17](#):

- a. Signed certification by a professional engineer licensed by the State of Michigan with regard to the manner in which the proposed structure will fall in the event of damage, accident or injury (i.e. “fall zone”), and that the setback area provided shall accommodate the structure should it fall or break and provide a reasonable buffer in the event the structure fails.
- b. A description of performance guarantee to be posted at the time of receiving approval for the facility to ensure removal of the facility when it is abandoned or is no longer needed. The applicant shall demonstrate that funds will be available to the City for removal of any structure used for wireless communication in an amount which reasonably reflects the cost of removal of the facility and restoration of the property or structure upon which the facility is located or placed. Adequate funds shall also be provided to cover the City’s administrative costs in the event that the applicant or its successor does not remove the wireless communication facility in a timely manner and the City chooses to undertake removal of the facility. The City may choose to use outside contractors for such purposes.

The security shall, at the election of the City Council, be in the form of: (1) cash; (2) security bond; (3) letter of credit; or, (4) an agreement in a form approved by the City Attorney and

recordable at the office of the Register of Deeds, establishing a promise of the applicant and owner of the property, or their successors, to remove the facility in a timely manner as required under this Section of the Ordinance. It shall further be provided that the applicant, owner or successor, shall be responsible for payment of any costs or attorney fees incurred by the City in securing removal.

- c. A map that illustrates existing and known proposed wireless communication facilities within the City of Romulus and adjacent communities, which are relevant in terms of potential collocation or to demonstrate the need for the proposed facility. If the information in question is on file with the City, the applicant shall be required only to update as needed. Any such information which is trade secret and/or other confidential commercial information which, if released would result in commercial disadvantage to the applicant, may be submitted with a request for confidentiality in connection with the development of governmental policy MCL 15.243(l)(g). This Ordinance shall serve as the promise to maintain confidentiality to the extent permitted by law. The request for confidentiality must be prominently stated in order to bring it to the attention of the community.
 - d. A permit for the construction and use of a new wireless communication support structure shall not be granted until the applicant demonstrates a feasible collocation is not available for the coverage area and capacity needs.
 - e. For all new facilities, in recognition of the City's policy to promote collocation, a written agreement, transferable to all assessors and assigns, that the operator shall make space available on the facility for collocation.
 - f. The name, address and phone number of the person to contact for engineering, maintenance and other notice purposes. This information shall be continuously updated during all times the facility is on the premises.
 - g. A Notice of Proposed Construction or Alteration, Federal Aviation Authority (FAA) Form 7460-1.
- (2) The City shall make a determination as to whether an application is complete. Applicant must be notified of incomplete application within 14 days of receipt of application. The City shall process applications for collocation on existing personal wireless service facilities within forty-five (45) days of receiving a complete application. The City shall process all other applications, including new towers, within one hundred fifty (150) days of receiving a complete application. Application must be approved in the above time periods or will be deemed approved.
- (e) **Design Standards Applicable to All Facilities.** In addition to the Criteria of Site Plan Review listed in [Article 17](#) and Special Land Use Review listed in [Article 18](#), all new wireless communication facilities shall be constructed and maintained in accordance with the following standards:

- (1) Facilities shall be located and designed to be harmonious with the surrounding areas. The Planning Commission may require unique design of the structure to either diminish the visual impact or to create an architectural feature that will contribute to or enhance community character.
- (2) All new and modified wireless communication facilities shall be designed and constructed to accommodate collocation, with a written agreement in a format approved by the City Attorney.
- (3) Landscaping shall be provided to screen the structure base, accessory buildings and enclosure from adjacent uses and public rights-of-way. The City may approve a six (6) to eight (8) foot tall brick wall around the site for screening purposes in any location where landscaping may not survive.
- (4) Elevations of the accessory buildings shall be provided. All accessory buildings shall be constructed of brick, provided the City may waive this requirement for a building that is not visible from a public right-of-way or any adjacent non-industrial lot.
- (5) Fencing, six (6) to eight (8) feet in height, shall be provided for protection of the support structure and security from children and other persons who may otherwise access facilities. Fencing shall be black vinyl coated or decorative wrought iron.
- (6) Any nonconforming situations on the site, such as, but not limited to, outdoor storage, signs, inadequate landscaping, unpaved parking, lack of a sidewalk, improper lighting, or similar conditions shall be brought into conformance to the maximum extent possible prior to the erection of the wireless communication facility in accordance with [Section 20.09](#).
- (7) The applicant shall demonstrate that the requested height of the new or modified support structure and antenna shall be the minimum height necessary for reasonable communication by the applicant, including additional height to accommodate future collocation where appropriate. All wireless facilities shall be within the maximum height set by the FAA.
- (8) Minimum required setbacks for a new facility or support structure:
 - a. From the lot line of any residential lot - the height of the structure, provided the engineering information required in (d)(1) is provided. The person or body with authority to approve the facility may decrease this setback to that provided in c. below upon a finding that no residential use exists or is expected on the adjacent site.
 - b. From any existing or proposed rights-of-way or other publicly traveled roads or non-motorized improved pathways - the height of the structure.
 - c. From the lot line of any adjacent nonresidential lot - one half the height of the structure, provided the engineering information required in (d)(1) above demonstrates such setback is adequate.

- (9) Accessory buildings shall be a maximum of fourteen (14) feet high and shall be set back in accordance with the requirements for principal buildings in that zoning district.
 - (10) There shall be unobstructed access to the support structure, for operation, maintenance, repair, and inspection purposes, which may be provided by an easement. This access drive shall be paved and have a minimum width of twenty six (26) feet.
 - (11) Where an attached wireless communication facility is proposed on the roof of a building, if the equipment enclosure is proposed as a roof appliance or penthouse on the building, it shall be designed, constructed, and maintained to be architecturally compatible with the principal building. The equipment enclosure may be located within the principal building or may be an accessory building. If proposed as an accessory building, it shall conform with all district requirements for principal buildings, including yard setbacks.
 - (12) The support system shall be constructed in accordance with all applicable building codes and shall include the submission of a soils report from a geotechnical engineer, licensed in the State of Michigan. This soils report shall include soil borings and statements confirming the suitability of soil conditions for the proposed use.
 - (13) The requirements of the Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Communication Commission, and Michigan Aeronautics Commission shall be met. Any aviation hazard lighting shall be detailed on the plans. An FAA Form 7460-1, Notice of Proposed Construction or Alteration shall be approved by the FAA.
 - (14) A maintenance plan, and any applicable maintenance agreement, shall be presented and approved as part of the site plan for the proposed facility. Such plan shall be designed to ensure the long term, continuous maintenance to a reasonably prudent standard.
- (f) **Removal.** As a condition of every approval of a wireless communication facility, adequate provision shall be made for removal of all or part of the facility by users and owners upon the occurrence of one or more of the following events:
- (1) When the facility has not been used for one hundred eighty (180) days or more. For purposes of this Section, the removal of antennas or other equipment from the facility, or the cessation of operations (transmission and/or reception of radio signals) shall be considered as the beginning of a period of non-use.
 - (2) Six (6) months after new technology is available at a reasonable cost, as determined by the City Council, which permits the operation of the communication system without the requirement of the support structure.
 - (3) The situations in which removal of a facility is required, as set forth in paragraph (1) above, may be applied and limited to portions of a facility.
 - (4) Upon the occurrence of one or more of the events requiring removal, specified in paragraph (1)

above, the property owner or persons who had used the facility shall immediately apply or secure the application for any required demolition or removal permits, and immediately proceed with and complete the demolition/removal, restoring the premises to an acceptable condition as reasonably determined by the Building and Safety Director.

- (5) If the required removal of a facility or a portion thereof has not been lawfully completed within sixty (60) days of the applicable deadline, and after at least thirty (30) days written notice, the City may remove or secure the removal of the facility or required portions thereof, with its actual cost and reasonable administrative charge to be drawn or collected and/or enforced from or under the security posted at the time application was made for establishing the facility.

(g) Collocation

- (1) **Statement of Policy.** It is the policy of the City of Romulus to minimize the overall number of newly established locations for wireless communication support structures within the City and to encourage the use of existing structures for attached wireless communication facilities. If a provider fails or refuses to permit collocation on a facility owned or controlled by it, where collocation is feasible, the result will be that a new and unnecessary additional structure will be required, in contradiction with City policy. Collocation shall be required unless an applicant demonstrates that collocation is not feasible.
- (2) **Feasibility of Collocation.** Collocation shall be deemed “feasible” for the purpose of this Section where all of the following are met:
- a. The wireless communication provider or property owner where collocation is proposed will accept market rent or other market compensation for collocation and the wireless communication provider seeking the facility will pay such rates.
 - b. The site on which collocation is being considered, taking into consideration reasonable modification or replacement of a facility, is able to provide structural support.
 - c. The collocation being considered is technically reasonable, e.g. the collocation will not result in unreasonable interference, given appropriate physical and other adjustments in relation to the structure, antennas and the like.
 - d. All collocation will be approved administratively if:
 1. The increase in overall height of the wireless communications structure will not be more than 20 feet or 10% of its original height, whichever is greater.
 2. The Increase in width of the wireless communication support structure by more than minimum necessary to permit collocation.
 3. The increase in the area of the existing equipment compound does not cause it to exceed 2,500 square feet.

4. All building code requests must be complied with.

- (h) **Nonconforming Facilities and Penalties for Not Permitting Collocation.** If a party who owns or otherwise controls a wireless communication support structure shall fail or refuse to alter a structure to accommodate a proposed and otherwise feasible collocation, such facility shall thereupon and thereafter be deemed to be a nonconforming structure and use, and shall not be altered, expanded, or extended in any respect. In addition, if a party refuses to allow collocation in accordance with the intent of this Section, and this action results in construction of a new tower, the City may refuse to approve a new wireless communication support structure from that party for a period of up to five (5) years. Such a party may seek and obtain a variance from the Board of Zoning Appeals if and to the limited extent the applicant demonstrates entitlement to variance relief which, in this context, shall mean a demonstration that enforcement of the five (5)-year prohibition would unreasonably burden some providers of functionally equivalent wireless communication services, or that such enforcement would have the effect of prohibiting the provision of personal wireless communication services.
- (i) **Variances.** The Board of Zoning Appeals may consider a variance from the standards of this Section, based upon a finding that one or more of the following factors exist, as appropriate for the type of variance requested:
- (1) For location, the applicant has demonstrated that a location within a district or location in accordance with the standards of this Section cannot reasonably meet the coverage or capacity needs of the applicant.
 - (2) For no collocation the applicant has demonstrated that a feasible collocation is not available for the coverage area and capacity needs because existing structures cannot support the facility, that collocation would result in unreasonable interference, or that reasonable financial terms are not available for collocation.
 - (3) For setback, the applicant has provided engineering information that documents that the tower is self-collapsing and that the setback area provided shall accommodate the structure should it fall or break and provide a reasonable buffer in the event the structure fails.
 - (4) For all, the applicant has proposed means to mitigate any negative impacts through provision for future collocation, if found to be appropriate by the City, and special design of the facility and site.
 - (5) For all, the wireless communication and accessory facilities shall be designed to be compatible with the existing character of the proposed site, neighborhood, and general area and may include such features as a steeple, bell tower, or similar form.

SECTION 2. Severability.

Should any word, sentence, phrase or any portion of this ordinance be held in any manner invalid by any court of competent jurisdiction or by any state agency having authority to do so for any reason whatsoever, such holdings shall be construed and limited to such words, sentence, phrase, or any portion of the ordinance held to be so invalid and shall not be construed as effecting the validity of any of the remaining words, sentences, phrases or portions of this ordinance.

SECTION 3. Conflicting Ordinances.

All prior existing ordinances adopted by the City of Romulus inconsistent or in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance are, to the extent of such conflict or inconsistency hereby expressly repealed.

SECTION 4. Effective Date.

This ordinance shall take effect upon publication thereof in an official newspaper, which publication shall be made when the minutes passing the ordinance are published subject to revocation at will of the City of Romulus at any time.

ADOPTED, APPROVED AND PASSED by the City Council of the City of Romulus this _____ day of _____, 2021.

LEROY BURCROFF, MAYOR

ELLEN L. CRAIG-BRAGG, CMC, City Clerk

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the Ordinance as passed by the City Council of the City of Romulus at a regular Council Meeting held in the City Council Room in said City of the _____ day of _____, 2021.

ELLEN L. CRAIG-BRAGG, CMC, City Clerk

I further certify that the foregoing was published in Associated/Journal Newspaper, a newspaper of general circulation in the City of Romulus, on the _____ day of _____, 2021.

TA-2021-002;
Section 12.15, Wireless Communication Facilities and Services

SECOND READING
City Council 11-1-21

Within forty-five- (45) days after publication of any ordinance duly passed by the Council, a petition may be presented to the Counsel protesting such ordinance continuing effect. Said petition shall contain the text of such ordinance and shall be signed by not less than six percent (6%) of the registered electors registered at the last preceding election which a Mayor of the City was elected. Said ordinance shall thereupon and thereby be suspended from operation and the Council shall immediately reconsider such ordinance.

Introduced: 10/5/21

Adopted: 11/1/21

Published:

Effective:



City of Romulus

Clerk's Report – Ellen L. Craig-Bragg, Clerk

Council Meeting Held: **November 1, 2021**

Item No. C.

General Description: Second Reading & Final Adoption of TA-2021-003 (Zoning Ordinance Amendment) -
Lighting

Resolution No. _____

<i>Moved by:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams
<i>Seconded by:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams

<i>Ayes:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams
<i>Nays:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams
<i>Abstain:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams

MOTION CARRIED
UNANIMOUSLY

MOTION CARRIED

MOTION FAILED



MEMORANDUM

To: Honorable City Council

From: Ellen L. Craig-Bragg, Clerk

Date: November 1, 2021

Re: Second Reading and Final Adoption of TA-2021-003

For approval is the second reading and final adoption of TA-2021-003 which amends Article 13, Site Design, Section 13.05, Lighting Regulations of the Zoning Ordinance.

This amendment was introduced at the October 25, 2021 Regular Council Meeting.

ORDINANCE _____
CITY OF ROMULUS
APPENDIX A – ZONING
Amendment 1.252

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ARTICLE 13, SITE DESIGN, SECTION 13.05, LIGHTING REGULATIONS
OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE FOR THE CITY OF ROMULUS.**

THE CITY OF ROMULUS ORDAINS:

SECTION 1. Article 13, Site Design, Section 13.05, Lighting Regulations is amended to read as follows:

Section 13.05 Lighting Regulations

- (a) **Purpose.** The purpose of this Section is to permit reasonable uses of outdoor lighting for night-time safety, utility, security, productivity, enjoyment, and commerce while minimizing the effects of excessive or uncontrolled light to:
- (1) Maintain consistent and uniform light levels for traffic and pedestrian safety along roadways, sidewalks, and in parking lots.
 - (2) Ensure uniform lighting for security and law enforcement.
 - (3) Minimize glare, obtrusive light, and artificial sky glow by limiting outdoor lighting that is misdirected, excessive, or unnecessary.
 - (4) Minimize light pollution and light trespass from light sources onto adjacent properties.
 - (5) Preserve the restful quality of nighttime by eliminating intrusive artificial light and lighting that unnecessarily contributes to “sky glow.”
 - (6) Curtail and reverse the degradation of the nighttime environment and the night sky.
 - (7) Preserve the dark night sky for astronomy.
 - (8) Conserve energy and resources to the greatest extent possible.
 - (9) Prevent upward directed intensive lighting that may distract air traffic or conflict with approach lighting for Detroit Metropolitan Wayne County International Airport.
- (b) **Light Levels.** All outdoor lighting for all nonresidential uses shall be fully shielded to reduce glare and shall be arranged to reflect light away from all adjacent residential districts or uses. Light levels on a site that is subject to site plan approval under this Ordinance shall meet the following average and

maximum requirements for the developed portion of the site containing buildings, drives, and parking lots. In addition, the uniformity ratio between the maximum and minimum illumination within the developed portion of the site shall not exceed ten to one (10:1).

Table 13.05 Required Site Illumination		
	Average Illumination (footcandles)(1)	Maximum Illumination (footcandles)
Parking lots, loading areas, drive aisles	1-3 fc (2)	10 fc (3)
Sidewalks, site and building entrances	3-6 fc	10 fc
Under canopies such as gas stations, drive-thru banks porte-cochere	3 fc	22 fc
Along front lot line adjacent to the street frontage	0.5 fc	3 fc (4)
Along a property line adjoining a nonresidential use or district	0.5 fc	3 fc (5)
Along a property line adjoining a residential use or district	0 fc	0.1 fc

(c) **Footnotes to Table 13.05**

- (1) Lighting levels may be reduced to half (0.5) footcandle with a uniformity ratio of not more than ten to one (10:1) after 12:00 PM, or after established hours of operation.
- (2) The average illumination levels shall not apply to portions of the site that are fenced to restrict public access, such as storage yards.
- (3) For automobile dealerships and other types of outdoor sales areas the maximum illumination may be increased to fifteen (15) footcandles, provided the limits at the property line are not exceeded.
- (4) These regulations shall not apply to ornamental street lighting, public street lights, or driveway/intersection lighting necessary for pedestrian and traffic safety.

- (5) The light level along a nonresidential property line may be increased to up to five (5) footcandles where there is shared access/vehicular connections with the adjacent use or the adjacent use is a similar use (e.g. commercial adjacent to commercial).
- (d) **Light Fixtures.** Outdoor lighting on all nonresidential sites shall be directed downward and confined to the ground areas of lawns or parking lots except as noted elsewhere in this Section. Lighting shall utilize full cutoff fixtures that are recessed sufficiently such that the light source is not visible from off site. Bollard lights are permitted to light driveways and pedestrian areas. All lamps shall be LED lamped luminaires, unless otherwise approved by the City.
- (e) **Fixture Height.** Light fixtures shall not be higher than fourteen (14) feet within three hundred (300) feet of a residential district. Otherwise, fixtures shall be no higher than twenty five (25) feet. Height shall be measured from the parking lot grade.
- (f) **Ornamental/Decorative Lighting.** The requirement for downward directed lighting may be waived for ornamental and decorative lighting which is part of an overall architectural theme and street lighting.
- (g) **Floodlighting Prohibited.** Floodlight type fixtures shall be used only for building accent, landscaping, and sign lighting. Upward directed flood lighting that may distract air traffic or conflict with approach lighting for Detroit Metropolitan Wayne County International Airport shall be prohibited in accordance with FAA standards.
- (h) **Sign Lighting.** Illumination of signs shall comply with the requirements of the City of Romulus Sign Ordinance. Internally illuminated signs shall be permitted and light fixtures directed at a sign may be permitted where the fixtures are shielded so not to cause visible glare to persons on adjacent streets or adjacent property.
- (i) **Photometric Plan.** The evaluation of the photometric plan is intended to permit the City to determine potential adverse effects the site lighting may have on adjoining properties and motorists. Any site plan application for new or revised lighting shall include a photometric plan overlaid on the site plan illustrating the planned layout and footcandles of site lighting. The following are required for review:
- (1) Location of all site lights including pole lights, pedestrian lights, building mounted lights, canopy lights, sign lights, and landscape lights.
 - (2) The designation type for each luminaire.
 - (3) A Luminaire Schedule indicating type ("A", "B", "C", etc.), manufacturer, model number, lamp type, lumen output, wattage, number of heads and the I.E.S. luminaire photometric file number used for the calculations.
 - (4) Mounting height for each luminaire.

- (5) A photometric grid indicating foot-candle levels over the entire site, including at all property lines, measured at grade level. Grid spacing shall not exceed 20 feet by 20 feet. ISO foot-candle lines may be included.
- (6) A Photometric Summary and Statistics Table indicating the maximum, minimum and average foot-candle levels, maximum-to-minimum and average-to-minimum ratios.
- (7) Lighting manufacturers' equipment specifications and data (cut) sheets must be copied on the photometric plan, and
- (8) Any other presentations required to convey the intent of the design.

SECTION 2. Severability.

Should any word, sentence, phrase or any portion of this ordinance be held in any manner invalid by any court of competent jurisdiction or by any state agency having authority to do so for any reason whatsoever, such holdings shall be construed and limited to such words, sentence, phrase, or any portion of the ordinance held to be so invalid and shall not be construed as effecting the validity of any of the remaining words, sentences, phrases or portions of this ordinance.

SECTION 3. Conflicting Ordinances.

All prior existing ordinances adopted by the City of Romulus inconsistent or in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance are, to the extent of such conflict or inconsistency hereby expressly repealed.

SECTION 4. Effective Date.

This ordinance shall take effect upon publication thereof in an official newspaper, which publication shall be made when the minutes passing the ordinance are published subject to revocation at will of the City of Romulus at any time.

ADOPTED, APPROVED AND PASSED by the City Council of the City of Romulus this _____ day of _____, 2021.

LEROY BURCROFF, MAYOR

ELLEN L. CRAIG-BRAGG, CMC, City Clerk

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the Ordinance as passed by the City Council of the City of Romulus at a regular Council Meeting held in the City Council Room in said City of the _____ day of _____, 2021.

ELLEN L. CRAIG-BRAGG, CMC, City Clerk

I further certify that the foregoing was published in Associated/Journal Newspaper, a newspaper of general circulation in the City of Romulus, on the _____ day of _____, 2021.

ELLEN L. CRAIG-BRAGG, CMC, City Clerk

Within forty-five- (45) days after publication of any ordinance duly passed by the Council, a petition may be presented to the Counsel protesting such ordinance continuing effect. Said petition shall contain the text of such ordinance and shall be signed by not less than six percent (6%) of the registered electors registered at the last preceding election which a Mayor of the City was elected. Said ordinance shall thereupon and thereby be suspended from operation and the Council shall immediately reconsider such ordinance.

Introduced: October 25, 2021

Adopted:

Published:

Effective:



City of Romulus

Clerk's Report – Ellen L. Craig-Bragg, Clerk

Council Meeting Held:

November 1, 2021

Item No. D.

General Description: Second Reading & Final Adoption to an amendment to Chapter 58, Traffic & Motor vehicles, Section 58-66 & 58-67 of the City Code of Ordinances

Resolution No. _____

<i>Moved by:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams
<i>Seconded by:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams

<i>Ayes:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams
<i>Nays:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams
<i>Abstain:</i>	Abdo	Barden	Roscoe	Talley	Wadsworth	Webb	Williams

MOTION CARRIED
UNANIMOUSLY

MOTION CARRIED

MOTION FAILED



MEMORANDUM

To: Honorable City Council

From: Ellen L. Craig-Bragg, Clerk

Date: November 1, 2021

Re: Second Reading and Final Adoption – Code of Ordinances

For approval is the second reading and final adoption to amendments to Chapter 58 – Traffic & Motor Vehicles – Section 58-66 (Defined) and Section 58-67 (Entrance; exits; paving) of the City of Romulus Code of Ordinances.

This amendment was introduced at the October 25, 2021 Regular Council Meeting.

CITY OF ROMULUS
ORDINANCE NO. _____

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 58 TRAFFIC AND MOTOR VEHICLES,
SECTION 58-66 AND SECTION 58-67.**

THE CITY OF ROMULUS ORDAINS:

Section 1. Chapter 58 Sections 58-66 and 58-67 are hereby amended to read as follows:

Sec. 58-66. Defined.

The term "parking facility," for the purpose of this division, means any plot, piece or parcel of land used for the purpose of storage or parking of motor vehicles where the storing of such vehicles is open to the general public. Such facilities shall be classified as follows:

- (1) *Class I.* Motor vehicle parking facilities wherein space is let or leased for a fee or other consideration for the storing or parking of a motor vehicle must pay the required airport parking tax under MCL 207.371 et. seq. Motels or hotels and other establishments offering park and fly where vehicles will be stored on their property are required to charge the fair value of parking storage for the parking period used and pay to the State Department of Treasury the parking tax. Such parking is prohibited unless such hotel or motel or other establishments has also received separate licensure as provided under section 58-100 and is in compliance with all zoning and building ordinances and regulations.
- (2) *Class II.* Motor vehicle parking facilities that are maintained and operated by any person in the city in connection with and for the benefit of patrons or customers of any establishment, store, theater, amusement, dance hall, apartment, motels or hotels or other business or enterprise shall not be considered a parking facility under the licensing provisions of this division, as to those vehicles of guests or facility patrons who are - actually present on the premises, - for each 24-hour period while such a vehicle is present on the premises.

(1971 Comp., Ch. V, Art. 7, § 1; Code 1999, § 35-621; Ord. of 6-24-1991, § 2)

Sec. 58-67. Entrance; exits; paving.

Prior to the issuance of any license, the department of public works and services shall cause an inspection to be made of the proposed parking facility and shall certify that the entrances and exits thereto from the public way have the proper curb cuts, and the space between the sidewalk and curb, where it is adjacent to the concrete roadway, shall be paved with concrete. Further, that in the case of Class I parking lots, the parking surface and aisles shall be covered with a pavement of asphaltic or concrete, in compliance with the provisions of the building and plumbing codes, and shall be graded and drained to catch basins connected to the city sewer. Driving cars across

curbs or sidewalks at any location other than a proper driveway entrance or exit is prohibited at any time.

ADOPTED, APPROVED AND PASSED by the City Council of the City of Romulus this _____ day of _____, 2021.

LEROY BURCROFF, Mayor

ELLEN L. CRAIG- BRAGG, City Clerk

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the Ordinance as passed by the City Council of the City of Romulus at a regular Council Meeting held in the City Council Room in said City on the _____ day of _____, 2021.

ELLEN L. CRAIG-BRAGG, City Clerk

I further certify that the foregoing was published in _____, a newspaper of general circulation in the City of Romulus, on the _____ day of _____, 2021.

ELLEN L. CRAIG-BRAGG, City Clerk

Within forty-five (45) days after publication of any ordinance duly passed by the Council, a petition may be presented to the Council protesting against such ordinance continuing in effect. Said petition shall contain the text of such ordinance and shall be signed by not less than six percent (6%) of the registered electors registered at the last preceding election at which a Mayor of the City was elected. Said ordinance shall thereupon and thereby be suspended from operation and the Council shall immediately reconsider such ordinance.



City of Romulus

Treasurer's Report

Council Meeting Held:

November 1, 2021

Item No. 7.

General Description: _____

Resolution No. _____

Moved by: Abdo Barden Roscoe Talley Wadsworth Webb Williams

Seconded by: Abdo Barden Roscoe Talley Wadsworth Webb Williams

Ayes: All Abdo Barden Roscoe Talley Wadsworth Webb Williams

Nays: All Abdo Barden Roscoe Talley Wadsworth Webb Williams

Abstain: All Abdo Barden Roscoe Talley Wadsworth Webb Williams

MOTION CARRIED
UNANIMOUSLY

MOTION CARRIED

MOTION FAILED



City of Romulus

Public Comment

Council Meeting Held:

November 1, 2021

Item No. 8.

General Description: _____

Resolution No. _____

Moved by: Abdo Barden Roscoe Talley Wadsworth Webb Williams

Seconded by: Abdo Barden Roscoe Talley Wadsworth Webb Williams

Ayes: All Abdo Barden Roscoe Talley Wadsworth Webb Williams

Nays: All Abdo Barden Roscoe Talley Wadsworth Webb Williams

Abstain: All Abdo Barden Roscoe Talley Wadsworth Webb Williams

MOTION CARRIED
UNANIMOUSLY

MOTION CARRIED

MOTION FAILED



City of Romulus

Unfinished Business

Council Meeting Held:

November 1, 2021

Item No. 9.

General Description: _____

Resolution No. _____

Moved by: Abdo Barden Roscoe Talley Wadsworth Webb Williams

Seconded by: Abdo Barden Roscoe Talley Wadsworth Webb Williams

Ayes: All Abdo Barden Roscoe Talley Wadsworth Webb Williams

Nays: All Abdo Barden Roscoe Talley Wadsworth Webb Williams

Abstain: All Abdo Barden Roscoe Talley Wadsworth Webb Williams

MOTION CARRIED
UNANIMOUSLY

MOTION CARRIED

MOTION FAILED



City of Romulus

New Business

Council Meeting Held:
Item No. 10.

November 1, 2021

General Description: _____

Resolution No. _____

Moved by: Abdo Barden Roscoe Talley Wadsworth Webb Williams

Seconded by: Abdo Barden Roscoe Talley Wadsworth Webb Williams

Ayes: All Abdo Barden Roscoe Talley Wadsworth Webb Williams

Nays: All Abdo Barden Roscoe Talley Wadsworth Webb Williams

Abstain: All Abdo Barden Roscoe Talley Wadsworth Webb Williams

MOTION CARRIED
UNANIMOUSLY

MOTION CARRIED

MOTION FAILED



City of Romulus

Communication

Council Meeting Held:
Item No. 11

November 1, 2021

Councilperson Abdo: _____

Councilperson Barden: _____

Councilperson Roscoe: _____

Councilperson Talley: _____

Councilperson Wadsworth: _____

Councilperson Webb: _____

Councilperson Williams: _____



City of Romulus

Adjournment

Council Meeting Held:

November 1, 2021

Item No. 12

General Description: _____

Resolution No. _____

Moved by: Abdo Barden Roscoe Talley Wadsworth Webb Williams

Seconded by: Abdo Barden Roscoe Talley Wadsworth Webb Williams

Ayes: All Abdo Barden Roscoe Talley Wadsworth Webb Williams

Nays: All Abdo Barden Roscoe Talley Wadsworth Webb Williams

Abstain: All Abdo Barden Roscoe Talley Wadsworth Webb Williams

MOTION CARRIED
UNANIMOUSLY

MOTION CARRIED

MOTION FAILED